

DECLASSIFIED

RECORD CENTER FILE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
(CLASSIFICATION)

DOUGLAS UNITED NUCLEAR, INC.

RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

DOCUMENT NO.

DUN-3714

Category C-57

SERIES AND COPY NO.

DATE

January 19, 1968

*Box 056961*

RESTRICTED DATA

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS RESTRICTED DATA AS DEFINED IN THE ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954. ITS TRANSMITTAL OR THE DISCLOSURE OF CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED.

TITLE

PRODUCT SPECIFICATION FOR LITHIUM-ALUMINATE

OTHER OFFICIAL CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

THIS MATERIAL CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U. S. C., SECS. 793 AND 794, THE TRANSMISSION OR REVELATION OF WHICH IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

AUTHOR

J. P. KEENAN

CIRCULATING COPY  
RECEIVED 700 AREA

JAN 29 1968

RETURN TO  
DOUGLAS UNITED NUCLEAR, INC.  
DOCUMENT CONTROL CENTER

THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE LEFT UNATTENDED OR WHERE AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON MAY HAVE ACCESS TO IT. WHEN NOT IN USE, IT MUST BE STORED IN AN APPROVED LOCKED REPOSITORY WITHIN AN APPROVED GUARDED AREA. WHILE IT IS YOUR POSSESSION AND UNTIL YOU HAVE OBTAINED A SIGNED RECEIPT FROM CLASSIFIED FILES, IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO KEEP IT AND ITS CONTENTS WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THIS PROJECT AND FROM ANY UNAUTHORIZED PERSON. ITS TRANSMITTAL TO, AND STORAGE AT, PLACE OF RESIDENCE IS PROHIBITED. IT IS NOT TO BE DUPLICATED. IF ADDITIONAL COPIES ARE REQUIRED, OBTAIN THEM FROM THE RELATED ISSUING FILE. ALL PERSONS READING THIS DOCUMENT ARE REQUESTED TO SIGN IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW.

ROUTE TO:	PAYROLL NO.	LOCATION	FILES ROUTE DATE	SIGNATURE AND DATE
<i>DUN Records Center</i>				
RECORD COPY				
BEST AVAILABLE COPY				
DUN RECORDS DISPOSITION				
Indefinite Retention				
Destruction Date				
Authority <i>B-2-2</i>				
<i>Hotel facility is abandoned</i>				
DECLASSIFIED BY CG-NMP-2				
SEPT. 2000 AND APPROVED				
FOR PUBLIC RELEASE				
NAME/DATE	<i>P. Anderson</i>	<i>1/25/02</i>		
NAME/DATE	<i>EV 133 Sommer</i>	<i>1/25/02</i>		
ORG.	PNNL	NSAT		

CLASSIFICATION REVIEW FOR DECLASSIFICATION BUT LEFT UNCHANGED

By

Date

U.S. AEC DIVISION of Classification

REVIEW FOR DECLASSIFICATION ON *Aug 2, 95* BY *Bob Glenn* Dec 1995 CLASSIFICATION IS UNCHANGED

DECLASSIFIED ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
(CLASSIFICATION)

THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO  
DECLASSIFIED WITH DELETIONS

DECLASSIFIED

DOUGLAS UNITED NUCLEAR, INC.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DUN-3714  
Category C-5  
Page 1

DISTRIBUTION:

DATE January 19, 1968

TO G. A. Huff

FROM J. P. Keenan

*J. P. Keenan*

1. GA Huff
2. KC Bowles
3. JP Keenan
4. KR Milner
5. DH Nyman
6. GF Owsley/RH Meichle
7. EV Padgett
8. HF Tew/LH Rice
9. CH Shaw
10. DUN 700 Files
11. DUN Records Center

SUBJECT PRODUCT SPECIFICATION FOR LITHIUM-ALUMINATE

As agreed upon at the January 9, 1968 meeting concerning the K-Reactor E-N demonstration loading, a guideline for a  $\text{LiAlO}_2$  Product Specification is outlined for your review and comments. Based on past N-Reactor  $\text{LiAlO}_2$  data and our experience with vendor and on-plant capabilities we recommend the following limits for chemical impurities, isotope concentration, dimensions and moisture:

1. Chemical Impurities

<u>Element</u>	<u>Max. Wt. (ppm)</u>
Antimony	30
Barium	50
Boron	25
Carbon	500
Cadmium	10
Chromium	200
Cobalt	10
Copper	200
Iron	1500
Manganese	50
Silicon	500
Sulfur	500
Zinc	275
Zirconium	275

Antimony, barium, chromium, cobalt, iron, manganese, zinc, and zirconium limits are specified to hold shielding requirements for irradiated targets within ICC limits for off-site shipments. Past experience has shown these impurity levels have not been exceeded and were no problem during irradiation or extraction.

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~

~~GROUP 1~~

This document contains Restricted Data as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. Its transmittal or the disclosure of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited.

Excluded from Automatic Downgrading and Declassification

DECLASSIFIED

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Boron and cadmium are limited because of their impact on neutron economy. Although cadmium levels created no problem during our coproduct campaign, we did encounter a period where boron levels reached 200 ppm. However, we believe this is mainly a boron contamination problem at the vendor's plant and through liaison contact this level can be reduced. Also, boron levels should be controlled to reduce extraction problems in that it produces helium during irradiation.

Carbon and sulfur levels are specified because of the undesirable effects they produce during the extraction process. Excessive carbon increases extraction costs as more reducing medium is needed to purify the end product. Sulfur also affects extraction process costs; however, our data indicate sulfur levels have not been a major concern here or at Savannah River.

Copper and silicon are mainly intended for process control, but are specified for check purposes in the product. Copper has never exceeded the above limit. Excessive amounts of silicon would increase pellet hardness and affect density.

In addition to the above elements we intend to analyze incoming powder for sodium, fluorine, chlorine, and calcium for process control purposes.

## 2. Li<sup>6</sup> Concentration

For a product specification we believe a meaningful method is to specify the weight of Li<sup>6</sup> per unit volume of sintered LiAlO<sub>2</sub>. This ratio is determined according to the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{Total Li in the LiAlO}_2}{(\text{wt. ratio})} \times \frac{\text{Li}^6/\text{Li in the LiAlO}_2}{(\text{wt. ratio})} \times \frac{\text{sintered density}}{(\text{wt. per volume})} =$$

weight of Li<sup>6</sup> per unit volume of sintered LiAlO<sub>2</sub>.

Assuming the Li<sup>6</sup>/Li ratio is adjusted to 17-19 a/o (14.926 - 16.743 w/o), the stoichiometry to 9.7 - 10.2 w/o Li in LiAlO<sub>2</sub> and the sintered density to 78 ± 5% of theoretical (1.91 - 2.17 g/cc) the finished product would contain 32.3654 ± 4.6935 mg Li<sup>6</sup> per cc of LiAlO<sub>2</sub>. This variation in isotopic content is equivalent to a range of ± 14.5% per element around nominal. The same variation in the earlier N-Fuels campaign was ± 10%.

We believe the above Li<sup>6</sup> concentration per element is a realistic figure for the relatively small LiAlO<sub>2</sub> demonstration load planned under this program. If a more stringent control of Li<sup>6</sup> per element is needed it may require more powder processing off-site to meet the tighter limits for stoichiometry and isotope level because blending will be required and an excessive amount of reject and recycle powder may be produced.

## 3. Pellet Dimensions

We anticipate no problems meeting the target dimensional specifications as shown on Drawing H-3-24303.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

**DECLASSIFIED**

DUN-3714  
Page 3

4. Moisture

During our coproduct run the moisture was limited to 150 ppm maximum and we recommend the same for K-size targets. Moisture levels are a direct function of time between pellet drying and pellet assembly. There has been some concern from your people to extend cooling time to allow sufficient pellet cool-down prior to assembly so that no annealing of your aluminum components will occur. This may necessitate changes from our assembly procedures, but this is a process problem which can be overcome through experience and tests of pellet cooling rate vs. moisture pickup within our assembly glovebox.

In summary, we have listed product goals that can be met with a minimum amount of cost, time, and effort both here and off-site. We would appreciate any comments you may have on these limits so that a final Product Specification can be drawn up in the near future.

JPK:KRM:mf

**DECLASSIFIED**

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~